

Vincent DeVito, Esq. Bowditch & Dewey One International Place 44th Floor Boston, Massachusetts 02110

MAR 2 2 2016

RE: MUR 6815

New Hampshire for Scott Brown and

Paul Kilgore, Treasurer

Scott Brown

Dear Mr. DeVito:

On May 8, 2014, the Federal Election Commission notified your clients, New Hampshire for Scott Brown and Paul Kilgore, in his official capacity as Treasurer, and Scott Brown, of a complaint alleging violations of certain sections of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended. A copy of the complaint was forwarded to your clients at that time.

Upon further review of the allegations contained in the complaint and information supplied by you, the Commission, on March 15, 2016, voted to dismiss this matter. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's decision, is enclosed for your information.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003) and Statement of Policy Regarding Placing First General Counsel's Reports on the Public Record, 74 Fed. Reg. 66,132 (Dec. 14, 2009).

If you have any questions, please contact Elena Paoli, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Peter Blumberg

Assistant General Counsel

Enclosure
Factual and Legal Analysis

1 2	FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
3	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS		
4 5 6 7 8 9	P. as	ew Hampshire for Scott Brown and aul Kilgore in his official capacity streasurer cott Brown	MUR 6815
0 !	I. <u>INTRODUCTI</u>	<u>on</u>	
2	This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by		
3	Raymond Buckley and the New Hampshire Democratic Party, alleging violations of the Federal		
4	Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act"), by New Hampshire for Scott Brown		
.5	and Paul Kilgore in his official capacity as treasurer and Scott Brown.		
6	On March 14, 2014, former Senator Scott Brown announced the formation of an		
7	exploratory committee in connection with the election for the United States Senate seat in New		
8	Hampshire and commenced a "listening tour" of the state. On March 21, 2014, Brown filed a		
9	Statement of Candidacy with the Commission and a Statement of Organization for the "New		
0.	Hampshire for Scott Brown Exploratory Committee." ² The exploratory committee amended its		
21	Statement of Organization on April 9, 2014 to remove the "exploratory" designation, ³ and the		
22	Complaint alleges that Brown ran his first campaign ad on April 10, 2014. The Committee filed		
23.	its first disclosure report, the April 2014 Quarterly Report, disclosing no disbursements and		
24	almost \$275,000 in contributions for the January 1 through March 31, 2014 reporting period.		

Compl. at 3.

See FEC Form 2, Statement of Candidacy, (Mar. 21, 2014), FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization (Mar. 21, 2014). Both the Complaint and Response mistakenly refer to March 14, 2014, as the filing date.

See FEC Form 1, Statement of Organization (Amended Apr. 9, 2014).

⁴ Compl. at 4.

l.

The Complaint alleges that Brown failed to report on the April 2014 Quarterly Report any testing-the-waters expenses he incurred while traveling around New Hampshire for "almost a year" exploring a possible candidacy, including the "listening tour" he commenced on March 14, 2014. The Complaint cites to several news articles chronicling Brown's travels around the state where he appeared and spoke at local events and raised funds for local candidates. In addition, the Complaint alleges that Brown must have incurred routine administrative campaign expenses during his testing-the-waters period for renting a post office box, opening a bank account, and hiring campaign staff. The Complaint also alleges that Respondents failed to report expenses related to their internet activities and a television ad. Specifically, the Committee did not report expenses for:

internet activities and a television ad. Specifically, the Committee did not report expenses for:

(1) Brown's "coming soon" website launched in January 2014, (2) Brown's official campaign website, which became fully operational on March 14, 2014, and (3) a web video, also available March 14, 2014, promoting Brown's candidacy, which included footage of interviews with New Hampshire residents and New Hampshire scenery. In addition, an April 10, 2014, television advertisement reportedly included footage of Brown that was taken on March 22, 2014 and March 24, 2014 According to the complainant, these web and television communications contained the disclaimer, "Paid for by New Hampshire for Scott Brown Exploratory

See id. at 1, 3.

See id, at 2-3.

See id. at 1, 5-6.

Id. at 3, n.3. The Complaint references two more videos that appeared on Brown's website later in March 2014, both of which featured footage of Brown giving speeches, traveling around New Hampshire, and interacting with residents. See id. at 4, n.11, 14.

⁹ See id. at 4, n.16.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13.

14

15

16

17

18

1 Committee," and must have generated reportable costs during the April 2014 Quarterly time
2 period. 10

Lastly, the Complaint alleges that Brown acknowledged in an interview that he and his spouse decided on Valentine's Day (February 14, 2014) in a private conversation that he would become a candidate, and because it is likely he spent over \$5,000 by that date, he should have filed his Statement of Candidacy by March 1, 2014 instead of March 21, 2014 and a Statement of Organization 10 days thereafter.¹¹

While not acknowledging that it violated the Act, the Committee states that it "arguably incurred minor expenses in the final days of the reporting period." The Committee states that, "in mid-March, the Respondents began the campaign activity listed in the complaint, including the production of the web videos, the 'listening tour,' the production of the television ad, and the updates to Mr. Brown's website." The Committee states that it did not enter into a written contract with "these vendors" or receive an invoice until after the close of the April Quarterly reporting period. 14

Respondents also asserted that Brown used personal funds totaling "well under \$1,000" or "less than \$1,000" for travel expenses in the reporting period, including transportation, meals and lodging. The Committee maintains, however, that these "minor personal travel costs" are "not reportable as a contribution or campaign committee expenditure."

¹⁰ Id. at 7-8.

¹¹ Id. at 3, 7.

¹² Resp. at 2.

¹³ Id. at 2-3.

¹⁴ Id. at 3-4.

¹⁵ Id. at 3, n.1; 4-5.

¹⁶ Id. at 3, n.1.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

In conjunction with filing the Response, the Committee amended its April 2014 Quarterly

- 2 Report to disclose the web and video expense of \$21,060 as a debt. The Committee paid the debt
- on April 30, 2014 according to its July 2014 Quarterly Report. It did not report the \$1,000 in
- 4 travel expenses. 17
- Regarding Brown's Statement of Candidacy, the Response states that it was timely
- 6 because, "as of March 14, 2014, Mr. Brown had not accepted any contributions and had not
- 7 made any expenditures," and that, "when Mr. Brown decided in his mind to run for the election
- 8 is irrelevant to when he became a 'candidate'" under the Act. 18

II. LEGAL ANALYSIS

Under the Act, "an individual who seeks nomination for election, or election, to Federal office" is a candidate and "shall be deemed to seek nomination for election, or election" when he receives contributions or makes expenditures in excess of \$5,000. 19 Commission regulations provide that when an individual decides to become a candidate, funds that were raised or spent to "test the waters" apply to the \$5,000 threshold for qualifying as a candidate, and the candidate must register with the Commission. 20 After an individual reaches candidate status, all reportable amounts from the beginning of the testing-the-waters period must be disclosed on the first

The Committee filed a Form 99 Miscellaneous Report with its amended April 2014 Quarterly Report, making the same arguments as in the Response. See supra p. 4-5. The Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") sent a follow-up Request For Additional Information to the Committee to further explain the \$21,060 in increased activity, but the Committee merely referred back to the Form 99. The increased activity does not meet RAD's threshold for referral to the Office of General Counsel or the Office of Alternative Dispute Resolution.

¹⁸ Resp. at 4.

¹⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2).

See 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.72(a), 100.131(a); see Factual and Legal Analysis at 3, MUR 6533 (Perry Haney); Factual and Legal Analysis at 5, MUR 6449 (Jon Bruning).

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

financial disclosure report filed by the candidate's committee, even if the funds were received or

expended prior to the current reporting period.²¹

A candidate for federal office must designate a principal campaign committee no later than 15 days after becoming a candidate.²² The designated principal campaign committee is required to file a Statement of Organization within ten days of designation or report any change in information previously submitted on its Statement of Organization within ten days of the change.²³

The Act requires treasurers of political committees to file reports disclosing the total amount of receipts, disbursements, and outstanding debts and obligations for the reporting period.²⁴ An outstanding debt exceeding \$500 must be reported on Schedule D in the report covering the date on which the debt was incurred.²⁵ A payment by a candidate from personal funds for campaign expenses is an expenditure or contribution unless an exemption applies.²⁶ One exemption provides that campaign-related transportation or subsistence expenses up to \$1,000 in aggregate for a single election paid for by any individual, including a candidate, and not reimbursed, are not contributions or expenditures.²⁷

See 11 C.F.R. §§ 101.3, 104.3(a), 104.3(b). Moreover, an individual does not become a candidate solely by voluntarily registering and reporting with the Commission, nor is such individual or the individual's committee required to file all disclosure reports under the Act and Commission regulations, unless the individual becomes a candidate under the Act and Commission regulations. 11 C.F.R. § 104.1(b).

²² 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1).

²³ 52 U.S.C. § 30103(a), (c).

²⁴ 52 U.S.C. §§ 30104(b)(2), (4), (8).

²⁵ 11 C.F.R. §§ 104.3(d), 104.11.

See 52 U.S.C. §§ 30101(8)(A)(i), (9)(A)(i).

²⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(B)(iv); 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.79(a)(1), 139(a)(1).

A. Reporting of Debts and Testing-the-Waters Expenses

The Committee's original April 2014 Quarterly Report, its first-filed report, disclosed no

- 3 expenditures and no debts. The Complaint alleges that Respondents failed to report
- 4 disbursements made during the testing-the-waters period, including costs for web and video
- 5 production, travel, campaign staff, a post office box, and opening a bank account.²⁸

In response, Respondents assert that they did not "make any expenditures with regard to

- 7 Mr. Brown's potential run for the New Hampshire Senate seat"²⁹ except for "minor personal
- 8 travel costs totalling less than \$1,000."30 If the travel expenses incurred by Brown were indeed
- 9 less than \$1,000 and we have no information to the contrary these expenses appear to be
- 10 exempt from the Act's definitions of contributions and expenditures, and did not need to be
- reported.³¹ Further, the expenses for a post office box and bank account were likely de minimis,
- and we have no specific information to support the complaint's allegation that Brown had made
- any payments for staffing expenses prior to the end of the reporting period.³²

Respondents state that the Committee received web and video production services after

Brown filed his Statement of Candidacy and during the reporting period, but the Committee was

not invoiced for these services until after the reporting period ended.³³ Respondents amended

16

Compl. at 6.

²⁹ Resp. at 3.

³⁰ *Id.* at 4-5.

³¹ See 52 U.S.C. § 10101(8)(B)(iv).

The Response does not specifically address these expenses. The available information appears to show that Brown only began fundraising after March 14, 2014 and we can reasonably infer that the campaign set up a bank account and post office box around that time and that any related expenses were likely *de minimis*.

Respondents state, "[a]rguably, in the last weeks of the reporting period, the Committee did incur an expense of producing a website and web video. These materials were used by the Committee in the First Quarter, although it did not receive an invoice until the Second Quarter." Resp. at 4.

- the April 2014 Quarterly Report to reflect a debt of \$21,060 approximately 60 days after the
- 2 filing date of the original report.

6

7

8

12

13

15

16

17

18

B. Statement of Candidacy and Statement of Organization

The Complaint alleges that Brown became a candidate on February 14, 2014. The

5 Complaint cites to an April 2014 interview during which Brown stated that he and his wife

decided on Valentine's Day (February 14) that he would run for office.³⁴ The complaint alleges

that because Brown likely spent the requisite \$5,000 by February 14, 2014, he should have filed

his Form 2 (Statement of Candidacy) no later than March 1, 2014 (15 days after February 14)

9 and the Committee should have filed its Form 1 (Statement of Organization) by March 11 (10

days later). Respondents filed their Statements of Candidacy and Organization on March 21,

2014. Even assuming arguendo that Brown became a candidate on February 14, 2014, the

Statements of Candidacy and Organization would have been less than 30 days late and the

Committee's first required disclosure report would have been the same 2014 April Quarterly

14 report that it timely filed.³⁵

Therefore, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegations that Scott Brown and New Hampshire for Scott Brown and Paul Kilgore in his official capacity as treasurer failed to report disbursements and debt in their first-filed report and filed their Statements of Candidacy and Organization late.

Compl. at 3.

Further, the available record does not provide enough information to establish when Brown reached the \$5,000 contribution or expenditure threshold. Since the website, which published video footage of Brown, became available for viewing on March 14, 2014, it is likely that the production of the website and videos must have occurred earlier than March 14. Neither the Complaint nor Respondents' disclosure reports reveal exactly when the web and video production services were performed. The Respondents assert only that the website and video production occurred in "mid-March."